

Appendix 2: Review of Statement of Community Involvement Consultation Statement

Responses to Pre-Consultation Stage April 2023

Response From	Summary of Comment	How Comment was Addressed in Document
PDNP Cultural Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General typographical errors • Historic England and Amenity Societies missing from the planning application consultation list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corrected as per suggestion • Amended as per regulations: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/arrangements-for-handling-heritage-applications-direction-2021
CBST	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Raised question of process of informing of planning application decision • On page 18 – highlighted, this is now not correct as we don't acknowledge electronic letters of representation made via the website, we only acknowledge paper letters and emails sent to the CBST inbox. • On page 19 – highlighted I've added this bit, we also put all LB applications in a local paper. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The question is presented to Members to allow the decision to be made at the Authority Committee • Text removed: <i>All third-party comments made in writing will be acknowledged and will be taken into account before any decision is made.</i> Text added: <i><u>Third party comments received by the Authority via post and email will be acknowledged. All third-party comments will be taken into account before any decision is made.</u></i> • Text added: <i>All owners or occupiers will receive a letter telling them about an application if their property* is within 10 metres of any part of the application site. A site notice will also be placed on or near the site, as required by</i>

		<p><i>law. <u>We will also advertise these applications in the relevant local newspaper.</u></i></p>
<p>PPPF</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ongoing role of PPPF in enabling consultation between PDNPA and Parishes needs to be clearly articulated in the document (we suggest somewhere in the section 2.5 to 2.9). • Given clause 1.1's wording (about the SCI being focused on actions of PDNPA), clause 2.8 (about actions of Parishes) appears inappropriate in the SCI - it would be better removed, and instead inserted in PDNPA's Local Enforcement Plan. To be clear, we are not objecting to the intent of the clause - we simply believe the SCI to be the wrong document in which to place it. • Clause 2.12 is too unambitious. To deliver clarity for readers, there needs to be not only removal of 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The following wording has been added in para 2.6: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>2.6.1 <u>National Park Authorities (NPA) have a duty, under Environment Act 1995, schedule 7, para 16, to "make arrangements with each parish council for informing and consulting them about the NPA's discharge of its functions".</u></i> <i>2.6.2 <u>PPPF was established in 1994 at the behest of PDNPA (Peak Park Planning Board as it then was) to help make necessary Parish consultations more effective, it being seen as very onerous for the Authority to consult with each Parish individually.</u></i> <i>2.6.3 <u>Accordingly, PPPF comments or makes representations on behalf of member Councils on any matter upon which PPPF is consulted by PDNPA.</u></i> • Discussed with the Monitoring and Enforcement Team Manager. There is an intention to update/review the Local Enforcement Plan before April 2024 and it is proposed some wording as below should be included: <p><i>Parish councils can assist the Authority by reporting possible breaches of planning control in their local area which will then be investigated by the Monitoring and Enforcement Officer</i></p> • The following wording has been removed:

	<p>unnecessary jargon but also strong focus on use of clear phrasing and paragraphing, and helpful layout and presentation.</p>	<p><i>The Authority will attempt to remove jargon from its processes and publications wherever possible, but there is sometimes a need to use legal or technical language and phrases.</i></p> <p>And replaced with:</p> <p><u><i>The Authority will use concise, clear language and produce accessible, easy-to-use documents. A glossary of terms is at Appendix 6.</i></u></p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------